## **Pupil Premium Strategy 2019-20**

1. Summary information									
School	Hillcross Pr	Hillcross Primary School							
Academic Year	2019-20	Total PP budget	£71,280	Date of most recent PP Review	Sep 19				
Total number of pupils	522	Number of pupils eligible for PP	54	Date for next internal review of this strategy	Sep 20				

2. Cu	rrent attainment		
		Pupils eligible for PP (your school 2018/19 data)	Pupils eligible for PP (national average 2019)
% achie	eving EXS+ in reading, writing & maths	47% (53% of PPI pupils with SEND)	51%
% achie	eving EXS+ in reading	53%	62%
% achie	eving EXS+ in writing	53%	68%
% achie	eving EXS+ in maths	60%	67%
3. Ba	rriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)		
In-scho	ool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)		
A.	Current Y6 non-SEND pupil premium children were significantly out-performed	d by their peers in summer 2019 (wh	nen in year 5)
В.	Lack of access to the arts and cultural opportunities		
C.	Social and emotional needs of small number of pupils and families eligible for	the pupil premium in KS1 and KS2 in	npacts on learning.
Ex	ternal barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance i	rates)	
D.	Ongoing attendance issues with certain families, who have been engaged with school hours and causes them to fall behind on average.	a, and have improved, but are still be	elow target. This reduces their

4. De	sired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will be measured)	Success criteria
A.	End of year outcomes for non-SEN disadvantaged children in KS2 will be in line with those of their peers in reading, writing and maths.	<ul> <li>Targeted intervention focuses on gap analysis results from Y5.</li> <li>Planning clearly identifies these children as focus group in lessons.</li> <li>Pupil voice carried out to identify further barriers is acted upon in order to provide support.</li> </ul>
В.	Disadvantaged children gain life experiences through extra-curricular activities and additional learning opportunities and experience both in and out of school. This impacts on learning outcomes as these children have less reference points to relate to in subjects such as writing, history, geography than those of their peers with greater cultural capital. This will be measured on SIMs by report of attendance of PPI children in clubs/sporting events and uptake of school visits.	<ul> <li>PPI children targeted for cultural visits/opportunities e.g. theatre, concerts, sporting events</li> <li>Class teachers positively discriminating when selecting children for events</li> <li>Tracking and review of school club/visit uptake half-termly</li> </ul>

C.	These children are able to fully engage with their academic learning and fulfil their potential without being negatively impacted by social and emotional needs. The progress of identified children (16) has accelerated compared to that their progress last year. Pupil voice results show increase in positive attitude and resilience towards learning.	<ul> <li>ELSA support prioritised for children meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Class teacher's 'checking in' with these children to ensure their emotional needs are met.</li> <li>Progress monitored half termly.</li> <li>Fostering effective lines of communication with home.</li> <li>Class teacher and support staff updated of any events at home/changes/likely triggers which may affect the children</li> </ul>
D.	Attendance figures for these children shows improvement and, as a result, their outcomes data.	<ul> <li>Children are in school and motivated to do so.</li> <li>Parents/carers are liaised with.</li> <li>School quick to act if children are not in school.</li> <li>Attendance of children monitored half-termly.</li> </ul>

5. Planned expenditure	
Academic year	2018-19
The three headings below enabl whole school strategies	e schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
A	Intervention and booster groups	Booster groups and interventions previous proven to accelerated the progress of low attaining children (see progress measures)	<ul> <li>Overseen, monitored and directed by phase leader and year 6 teacher.</li> <li>Focussed on addressing gaps in knowledge and skills from gap analysis.</li> <li>Impact measured each half term.</li> <li>Experienced TAs leading groups.</li> <li>Small groups – no more than four.</li> </ul>	CR and BK	Half termly
A	Revision books provided free of charge for these children in order to ensure they can access learning at home	<ul> <li>Approximately 80% of a children's time is spent at home and so it is crucial we support the out of school learning opportunities of our children</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Monitor usage of books         via pupil voice and         communication books</li> <li>Termly assessment         data</li> </ul>	DHT	Termly



A, B, C	Children given opportunity to visit the theatre, watch live music performance and spectate at sporting event such as cricket, basketball.	<ul> <li>Motivating children to be in school and access the curriculum by providing opportunities for them to draw upon these experiences in their learning</li> <li>Ofsted reference to cultural capital and its impact on children's education</li> </ul>	Choosing appropriate     events/opportunities for     maximum impact	All staff/PP leader	Termly – attainment Half termly attendance Termly pupil voice
A	Whole class guided reading books	Impacted on pupil engagement in 2018-2019	Quality texts chosen with input from children to ensure enjoyment in reading and responding to texts	English lead	Termly outcomes
			Total I	oudgeted cost	£32,000

ii. Targeted support						
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?	
C	ELSA support	Academic research on impact of ELSA https://www.elsanetwork.org/	<ul> <li>Training and CPD for ELSAs</li> <li>ELSA supervision</li> </ul>	Assistant Head (TD) and SENCO	Termly	
А, В	<ul> <li>Beanstalk         volunteer to         provide         targeted KS1         children</li> <li>FFT Wave 3 &amp;         ReadWrite Inc         in KS1</li> <li>ReadWrite Inc.,         WriteAway &amp;         FreshStart in</li> </ul>	Targeted support for those children identified with significant gaps and the interventions used have been proven to be highly effective over time	Observation and monitoring of groups with entry and exit performance data reviewed during progress meetings	SLT monitoring	Termly monitoring of progress	
	£50,200					
iii. Other approaches						
Desired outcome Chosen action / approach		What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?	



Total budgeted cost   £2280
-----------------------------



Previous Academic Yea	r	2018-19					
		2018-19					
i. Quality of teaching	g for all						
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	% of PP children meeting EOY targets           Reading           %         Count           Year 1         0%         1           Year 2         n/a         0           Year 3         80%         5           Year 4         100%         5           Year 5         75%         4           Year 6         50%         4           Writing           %         Count			Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	£1, 400  • SIMs training and updates to marksheets • Learning Ladders training for all staff	
Measureable targets are set for progress of SEN-Pupil Premium children and are met in all areas	<ul> <li>SIMs mark sheets to be changed in order to set and measure against school targets</li> <li>Progress of SEN PP children tracked as part of termly assessments</li> </ul>				SENCO to have more of an input into target set and some inconsistencies were apparent during pupil progress meetings.  As a school, we will continue with setting these targets as, where staff set relevant targets, monitoring of progress was meaningful and enabled early intervention and support to address areas of challenge.		
	SENCo, class teacher and DHT to agree targets for SEN PP children	Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6	0%	1 0 5 5 4 4 4 4 o set SEND PPI			



			children of the end of these.					_						
Gap between attainment of non- SEND pupil premium	•	Whole class reading scheme - class set of	Reading	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6	Pupil and staff voice evidenced that whole class reading has improved attitudes to	£22,950 • Resources for			
and non pupil	books bought	books bought	PP	67%	74%	63%	67%	44%	53%	reading significantly for PPI children.		book corner		
premium children in reading and writing is less than 20%  • Development and resourcing of book corners	•	PP NON SEN	100%	100%	88%	100%	50%	100%	Non-SEND PPI children are achieving well in reading and writing and, in some year groups,	•	Whole class reading scheme books purchased			
		in each classroom	Non-PP	78%	83%	77%	92%	90%	87%	out-performing their peers.	•	Write Away and		
	<ul> <li>Monster         Writing Week         to run during         Autumn Term</li> <li>Whole school         focus on         ensuring robust         assessment and</li> </ul>	Gap	22%	17%	11%	8%	40%	13%	Year 5 and year 1 will be an area of focus in 2019-20 (year 2 and 6) to ensure the gap	pre-teach intervention staff costings				
		•	Writing	Y1	Y2									
			PP	67%	50%	54%	58%	30%	53%	In 2019-20 the school will ensure that are more male role models to further boost the profile of reading for girls and boys.				
		ensuring robust	PP NON SEN	100%	100%	88%	86%	50%	100%					
procedure in place ac the schoo the inform is used effectively inform pla	moderation procedures are in place across	Non-PP	74%	71%	58%	79%	72%	76%						
		the school and the information	Gap	+26%	+29%	+42%	+7%	22%	+24%					
			Year 6: 15 Year 5: 9 P Year 4: 12 F Year 3: 13 Year 2: 4 PP Year 1: 3 P	PI 3 SEN PPI 5 SEN PPI 5 SE PI 0 SEN	and 6 no Nand 7 r Nand 8 and 2 no	o SEN no SEN no SEN o SEN								



ii. Targeted support								
ii. Targeted support  Desired outcome  Measureable targets are set for progress of SEN-pupil premium children and are met in all areas	Chosen activation approach      Author is school at of Monse English is and wor for PP at children.      SENCo to monitor progress books heremly to ensure.	to visit as part ster week rkshop nd key n	riteria? Include PP, if appropriate Months of PI  Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6  Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 6	P children meeting EC  Read  %  0%  n/a  80%  100%  75%  50%  Write  %  100%  n/a  40%  60%  75%  38%  Mat	Count  Count	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)  Interventions have had a positive impact on the majority of children, who have consistently met the targets on their support plan.  SENCO to monitor the progress of SEND children against targets on a half-termly basis in order to address unexpected stalling of progress in learning.  Further support to be given to key staff when setting targets in order that there is less discrepancy in % if children meeting EOY targets.	Cost  25,510  • Author visit • Intervention staffing costs	
	books h termly t ensure appropr differen and feed Interver groups n TAs in re to gap a so that t	alf to riate atiation dback ation run by esponse analysis the	Year 4 Year 5	75% 38% <b>Mat</b>	5 4 4 hs	setting targets in order that there is less discrepancy in % if children meeting EOY		



	of learning									
Gap between attainment of pupil premium and non pupil premium children in reading and writing is less than 20%	<ul> <li>Beanstalk volunteer to provide targeted KS1 children</li> <li>FFT Wave 3 &amp; ReadWrite Inc in KS1</li> <li>ReadWrite Inc., WriteAway &amp; FreshStart in KS2</li> <li>Pre-teach groups</li> </ul>	Reading	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6	Interventions run have had significant impact	£33,340 Intervention staffing
		PP	67%	74%	63%	67%	44%	53%		costs
		PP NON SEN	100%	100%	88%	100%	50%	100%		
		Non-PP	78%	83%	77%	92%	90%	87%		
		Gap	22%	17%	11%	8%	40%	13%		
		Writing	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6		
		PP	67%	50%	54%	58%	30%	53%		
		PP NON SEN	100%	100%	88%	86%	50%	100%		
		Non-PP	74%	71%	58%	79%	72%	76%		
		Gap	+26%	+29%	+42%	+7%	22%	+24%		

iii. Other approaches											
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	<b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost							
1) Attendance for all pupil premium children to improve where it is below 96%	<ul> <li>Discrete         monitoring of         PP children's         attendance.         Communication         with parents to         discuss         attendance -         improvements/         concerns etc.</li> <li>Incentives to         children e.g.         letter from         Educational         Assistance Dog         Willow to         congratulate on         improved         attendance</li> </ul>	30 children still remain under 96% attendance 14 of those children have SEND 6 of those 30 children are under 90% attendance	Targetting of the six families with under 90% attendance will remain a focus for 2019-20.  Pupil voice will be carried out to determine attitude to learning and barriers to attending school.  Along with the EWO, the school will continue to support these families.	£1280 • Resources							

## 7. Additional detail

In this section you can annex or refer to <b>additional</b> information which you have used to support the sections above.							
	ļ						

